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ABSTRACT 
We have detected extremely extended (>1.5, or 12 pc) near-infrared H$_2$ line emission from the Orion A molecular cloud. We have mapped emission in the 1.601 $\mu$m $v = 6-4$ Q(1) and 2.121 $\mu$m $v = 1-0$ S(1) lines of H$_2$ along a $\sim 2^\circ$ R.A. cut and from a 6' x 6' region near $\theta^1$ Ori C. The surface brightness of the extended H$_2$ line emission is 10$^{-6}$ to 10$^{-5}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$. Based on the distribution and relative strengths of the H$_2$ lines, we conclude that UV fluorescence is most likely the dominant H$_2$ emission mechanism in the outer parts of the Orion cloud. Shock-heated gas does not make a major contribution to the H$_2$ emission in this region. The fluorescent component of the total H$_2$ $v = 1-0$ S(1) luminosity from Orion is 30–40 L$_{\odot}$. Molecular hydrogen excited by UV radiation from nearby OB stars contributes 98%–99% of the global H$_2$ line emission from the Orion molecular cloud, even though this cloud has a powerful shock-excited H$_2$ source in its core. The ability to detect large-scale H$_2$ directly opens up new possibilities for the study of molecular clouds. 

Subject headings: infrared: ISM: lines and bands — ISM: clouds — ISM: individual (Orion Nebula) — ISM: molecules 

1. INTRODUCTION 
Most of the gas in giant molecular clouds is H$_2$. Yet, beyond a few well-defined small regions near sites of star formation or ionization fronts, the only direct detections of the molecular gas are through emission from trace species, most notably the lowest rotational lines of CO. Rovibrational H$_2$ emission in the near-infrared arises as a result of UV excitation by nearby OB stars and/or as a result of shock heating of the molecular gas and is therefore an excellent tracer of energetic environments (e.g., Shull & Beckwith 1982; Beckwith et al. 1983; Hayashi et al. 1985; Sellgren 1986; Gatley et al. 1987). Outside these energetic regions, the H$_2$ lines are faint and difficult to detect. Near cloud cores, measurements of the ratios of H$_2$ lines longward of 2 $\mu$m imply that the highest surface brightness H$_2$ emission is thermally excited in shock-heated gas (e.g., Draine, Roberge, & Dalgarno 1983; McKee, Chernoff, & Hollenbach 1984). On parsec scales, both UV radiation from OB stars and large-scale shock dissipation of the kinetic energy in protostellar winds and molecular outflows may excite H$_2$, producing emission from the entire cloud. We can distinguish between the possible excitation mechanisms by comparing the strengths of well-chosen H$_2$ lines. 

Using a new instrument optimized for the detection of diffuse, near-infrared line radiation, we have made the first observations of large-scale H$_2$ emission from the Orion A molecular cloud. Previous maps of lines of trace species toward Orion A have revealed very extended molecular gas. In $^{13}$CO, the cloud extends 4' North-South and appears filamentary; the brightest feature is a $\sim 10' \times 80' \ " [,]-shaped filament" behind the Orion Nebula (Bally et al. 1987). At the declination of the nebula, bright ($\int T_a dV > 5$ K km s$^{-1}$) $^{13}$CO emission extends east-west over $\sim 40'$ as well. In $^{12}$CO (Maddalena et al. 1986), the cloud is elongated north-south ($\sim 10'$), but is also very extended ($\sim 3'$) east-west. The molecular cloud lies behind the compact H II region M42, which is ionized by the Trapezium cluster stars, in particular $\theta^1$ Ori C, and to a lesser extent $\theta^2$ Ori A. Stacey et al. (1993) have detected [C II] emission over more than 30' (4 pc) along the H II region/molecular cloud interface. The Orion A cloud also contains at least 12 molecular outflows (Fukui et al. 1993), including the powerful BN-KL outflow source, and is surrounded by a spherically expanding, high-velocity ($v_{lsr} \sim 100$ km s$^{-1}$) shock triggered by a supernova event $\sim 3 \times 10^5$ yr ago (Cowie, Songaila, & York 1979). This global shock may be influencing the outer regions of the Orion cloud (Bally et al. 1987). A copious supply of UV photons and the presence of possible sources of shock excitation make Orion A a good place to search for widespread H$_2$ emission. 

Ultraviolet excitation (UV fluorescence) populates high vibrational levels of H$_2$, which are not populated collisionally in shocks. Therefore, H$_2$ lines from high-velocity ($v > 2$) are the best tracer of fluorescent H$_2$ emission. Virtually all previous detections of fluorescent H$_2$ have relied on the strongest H$_2$ lines between 2 and 2.4 $\mu$m ($\Delta v = 1, v_{upper} = 1$ or 2), which are often an unreliable diagnostic of fluorescent gas (Sternberg & Dalgarno 1989; Burton, Hollenbach, & Tielens 1990). To have definitive tracers for both thermally and UV-excited H$_2$, we observed the 2.121 $\mu$m $v = 1-0$ S(1) ($E_{upper} = 7 \times 10^4$ K) and the 1.601 $\mu$m $v = 6-4$ Q(1) ($E_{upper} = 3.1 \times 10^4$ K) lines of H$_2$. We chose the $v = 6-4$ Q(1) line based on the strength of this high-$v$ level transition, as predicted by Black & van Dishoeck (1987) and Sternberg (1988). 

2. OBSERVATIONS 
We observed the 1.601 $\mu$m $v = 6-4$ Q(1) and the 2.121 $\mu$m $v = 1-0$ S(1) H$_2$ transitions in Orion on the McDonald Observatory 2.7 m and 0.9 m telescopes in 1992 October/December and in 1994 January, respectively, and on the Steward Observatory 1.55 m telescope in 1994 February. We used the University of Texas Near-Infrared Fabry-Perot Spectrometer (Luhman et al. 1994). The instrument beam size is 49$''$ ($\Omega = 4.4 \times 10^{-4}$ sr), 150$''$ ($\Omega = 4.2 \times 10^{-7}$ sr), and 65$''$ ($\Omega = 7.9 \times 10^{-8}$ sr) on the McDonald 2.7 m, 0.9 m, and Steward 1.55 m telescopes, respectively. The spectrometer consists of a solid-nitrogen-cooled InSb photovoltaic detector behind a narrowband (0.5%–1%) filter. The filter serves as an
order sorter for an ambient temperature Fabry-Perot interferometer. The system is limited by sky noise due to telluric OH-line intensity fluctuations at 1.6 μm and by thermal background at 2.1 μm.

We calibrated the wavelength scale using an argon lamp and a helium/neon discharge tube. We observed by scanning the Fabry-Perot across the unresolved H2 line. The velocity resolution was 91 km s\(^{-1}\) at 1.6 μm and 111 km s\(^{-1}\) at 2.1 μm. The integrating detector readout electronics allowed us to observe without rapid sky chopping. We removed the background signal by subtracting sky spectra taken 2° west of θ1 Ori C (\(\alpha_{1950} = 5^h 32^m 49^s 00\), \(\delta_{1950} = -05^\circ 25' 16''\)) immediately before or after on-source observations.

We made an E-W strip map at the declination of θ1 Ori C, acquiring spectra at 2° to 4° intervals within 10° to 20° of θ1 Ori C and with increased spacing farther from the star. For the outer portions of the cut, we observed only the \(v = 1-0\) S(1) line. We also mapped a ~6° square region centered on the Trapezium star cluster by raster-scanning the telescope on a 1° grid. The absolute pointing uncertainty was 5'' and 10'' for the \(v = 6-4\) Q(1) and the \(v = 1-0\) S(1) data, respectively. The flux calibrator was the B0 Ia star BS 1903, assumed to have H and K band magnitudes \(m_H = 2.13\) and \(m_K = 2.18\), corresponding to a flux density of 1.5 \(\times\) 10\(^{-21}\) ergs s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) Hz\(^{-1}\) at 1.60 μm and 9.4 \(\times\) 10\(^{-22}\) s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) Hz\(^{-1}\) at 2.12 μm. To correct for atmospheric opacity changes, we scaled the data by the line strength toward θ1 Ori C, which we measured repeatedly. Based on comparisons with other standard stars and on variations in the H2 line flux toward θ1 Ori C, we estimate that the absolute flux calibration is accurate to ±15%.

3. RESULTS

Figure 1 shows E-W strip maps of the \(v = 6-4\) Q(1) and \(v = 1-0\) S(1) lines, as well as the 158 μm [C II] line (Stacey et al. 1993). All H2 data points are ≥3 standard deviations except for the \(v = 1-0\) S(1) measurements toward 6° W, 8° W, 16° E, and 60° E (2σ); 34° W and 76° E (<1σ). The \(v = 1-0\) S(1) line strength ([3.03 ± 0.10] \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) ergs s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) sr\(^{-1}\) in a 49'' beam) toward θ1 Ori C agrees with previous observations smoothed to our spatial resolution (Garden 1986). Figure 1 shows that the H2 emission is extremely widespread, detectable out to ~1°, or 8 pc (\(D = 450\) pc), from θ1 Ori C. The E-W cut in the \(v = 1-0\) S(1) line shows a “plateau” beyond 4° from θ1 Ori C that drops off gradually toward the edges of the strip map, similar to the “plateau” seen in the [C II] line.

Figure 2 shows the \(v = 6-4\) Q(1) and \(v = 1-0\) S(1) maps of the inner 6° × 6° of Orion A, along with the [C II] map of Stacey et al. (1993). In Orion, the [C II] line traces hot neutral gas at cloud surfaces illuminated by 11.2–13.6 eV photons. While the \(v = 1-0\) S(1) and [C II] line strengths vary by an order of magnitude or more over the mapped region, the \(v = 6-4\) Q(1) line only varies by a factor of 2. Observations using small chopper throws (1°–2°) therefore would have suppressed the \(v = 6-4\) Q(1) line. Note that the \(v = 6-4\) Q(1) and [C II] peaks lie toward the Trapezium cluster, while the \(v = 1-0\) S(1) peak lies toward the BN-KL outflow source.

4. DISCUSSION

What produces the large-scale, low surface brightness H2 emission: UV-excited or shock-heated gas? Several arguments suggest that UV fluorescence excites H2 in the region beyond 4° from θ1 Ori C, which we refer to as the “plateau” or “outer cloud” region. First of all, the observed relative H2 line strengths (\(I_{1-0S(1)} = 2.9 \times I_{6-4Q(1)}\)) for the “outer cloud” agree well with model predictions of fluorescent H2 emission from photon-dominated regions or PDR’s (\(I_{1-0S(1)} = [2.4-3.2] \times I_{6-4Q(1)}\); Black & van Dishoeck 1987; Sternberg 1988), implying that UV fluorescence excites H2 across the face of the extended Orion molecular cloud. Next, the absolute H2 line emission along the “plateau” compares well with the calculated line intensities. The typical \(v = 1-0\) S(1) intensity from the “plateau,” say ~15° east of θ1 Ori C, is
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*Figure 1.—Top: Right ascension strip map (\(\alpha_{1950} = -05^\circ 25' 16''\)) across the face of the Orion molecular cloud in the H2 \(v = 6-4\) Q(1) and \(v = 1-0\) S(1) lines (49° beam) along with the 158 μm [C II] line (55° beam; Stacey et al. 1993). The [C II] cut is offset ~75° to the north of θ1 Ori C. We have normalized the line intensities by the peak values shown in the figure and have plotted the \(v = 6-4\) Q(1) data with 1σ error bars. We have not dereddened the H2 line strengths. Bottom: H2 \(v = 1-0\) S(1) and [C II] R.A. cuts plotted on a scale suitable to the low-level emission, along with the 1σ error bars for the \(v = 1-0\) S(1) data points.
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\[ n \sim 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3} \] and the incident UV field strength \( \chi \sim 10^7 \) (Stacey et al. 1993), where \( \chi \) is unity for Draine’s (1978) fit to the average interstellar radiation field. For the same physical conditions, Black & van Dishoeck (1987) and Sternberg (1988) predict \( I_{1-0(S1)} \sim 5.8 \times 10^{-6} \) and \( 2.4 \times 10^{-6} \) ergs s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) sr\(^{-1}\), respectively. Likewise, for the position 1° east of \( 0^1\) Ori C (\( n \sim 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}; \chi \sim 10 \) assuming geometric dilution), the PDR models predict \( I_{1-0(S1)} \sim 10^{-6} \) ergs s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) sr\(^{-1}\), consistent with the observed value of \( 1.4 \times 10^{-6} \) ergs s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) sr\(^{-1}\). The drop \( (\sim 5 \times 1) \) in \( I_{1-0(S1)} \) from 15' to 1° east of \( 0^1\) Ori C also agrees with Sternberg’s (1988) scaling law for fluorescent \( H_2 \) emission.

Shock excitation, on the other hand, cannot explain the large-scale \( H_2 \) emission. None of the favored shock models for Orion produce significant \( v = 6-4 \) \( Q(1) \) emission (e.g., Chernoff, Hollenbach, & McKee 1982; Brand et al. 1988). They also cannot explain the strong inner \( (< \pm 4') \) and diffuse outer envelopes of both the \( v = 1-0 \) \( S(1) \) line and the 158 \( \mu \text{m} \) [C \text{ II}] line, which is produced in PDR’s but not in most shock excited regions (Hollenbach & McKee 1989). Furthermore, the mechanical luminosity from the shock sources available for excitation of \( H_2 \) in the “outer cloud” is far less than the observed \( H_2 \) luminosity. The known embedded molecular outflows toward the “outer cloud” deposit \( \sim 2 \text{ L}_\odot \) into the Orion A cloud (Fukui et al. 1986, 1993). The mechanical luminosity associated with the high-velocity component of the Orion OBI association supernova remnant is \( \sim 0.1 \text{ L}_\odot \) over a 3 square degree region of Orion A (Cowie et al. 1979). Over the same area, the measured \( v = 1-0 \) \( S(1) \) luminosity is \( 34 \text{ L}_\odot \), obtained by spatially weighting the points along the “plateau” (excluding the bright inner region) and assuming a circular source as projected on the sky. Even if all of the shock kinetic energy is converted into \( H_2 \) emission, neither shock source can account for the observed \( v = 1-0 \) \( S(1) \) luminosity.

Based on the discussion above, we conclude that the \( H_2 \) emission from the “plateau” is purely fluorescent. Thus, the value of \( L_{1-0(S1)} (= 34 \text{ L}_\odot) \) derived from the “plateau” emission implies that the total fluorescent \( H_2 \) luminosity of Orion is \( \sim 1900 \text{ L}_\odot \), assuming the \( v = 1-0 \) \( S(1) \) line contributes 1.8% of the total \( H_2 \) fluorescent emission (Black & van Dishoeck 1987; Sternberg 1988). This luminosity is a lower limit since it applies to the inner 110' length of our strip map. We can place a crude upper limit on the fluorescent \( H_2 \) luminosity if we assume that the \( v = 1-0 \) \( S(1) \) emission beyond our cut corresponds to our 1σ detection limit. In this case, the remaining material as defined by the \( \sim 3 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3} \) CO cloud (Madden et al. 1986) can contribute an additional 1600 \( \text{ L}_\odot \), for a total fluorescent \( H_2 \) luminosity of 3500 \( \text{ L}_\odot \).

Gautier et al. (1976) and Burton & Puxley (1990) measured a \( v = 1-0 \) \( S(1) \) line luminosity from the Orion/BN-KL shock of \( \sim 2.5 \text{ L}_\odot \), not correcting for extinction. The fraction of the total shocked emission emitted through the \( v = 1-0 \) \( S(1) \) line is \( \sim 1/15 \) (Burton et al. 1988). Therefore, the emergent \( H_2 \) line luminosity from the BN-KL region is \( \sim 38 \text{ L}_\odot \). As mentioned, the remaining molecular outflows contribute at most 2 \( \text{ L}_\odot \) to the total shocked \( H_2 \) emission. Thus, the total emergent shocked \( H_2 \) luminosity from the whole Orion A cloud is \( \sim 40 \text{ L}_\odot \), which is a mere 1%–2% of the fluorescent contribution.

Toward the “inner” Orion cloud, i.e., \(< 4' \) from \( 0^1\) Ori C, the faintness of the \( v = 6-4 \) \( Q(1) \) emission compared to \( v = 1-0 \) \( S(1) \) \( (I_{6-4(01)} = 2.4\% \times I_{1-0(01)} \) toward \( 0^1\) Ori C) indicates that the \( H_2 \) lines arise in a dense PDR (\( n \sim 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}; \chi \sim 10 \) few times \( 10^4 \)) with thermalized emission from UV-pumped \( H_2 \). For \( n \geq 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3} \) and \( \chi \geq 10^4 \), collisional deexcitation can both depopulate the \( \geq 2 \) levels, lowering the contribution of high-\( v \) level lines to the total \( H_2 \) emission, and thermalize the low-\( v \) levels (Sternberg & Dalgarno 1989; Burton et al. 1990). In the “inner” region, UV fluorescence produces high-\( v \) \( H_2 \) line emission. However, thermal/shock excitation swamps the fluorescent contribution to the \( v = 1-0 \) \( S(1) \) emission toward the Trapezium and BN-KL. The uniformity of the \( v = 6-4 \) \( Q(1) \) emission suggests that toward cloud cores where \( n \) and \( \chi \) are high, the high-\( v \) lines of \( H_2 \) are suppressed compared to other tracers of UV-illuminated material such as [C II] (see Fig. 2).
5. IMPLICATIONS

The diffuse, large-scale H$_2$ emission gives us a unique new view of interstellar molecular clouds. Since the diffuse H$_2$ emission is not thermally excited, we cannot probe the bulk of the molecular gas, but the very different fluorescent excitation mechanism has several key advantages. First of all, fluorescent H$_2$ emission only traces where UV photons strike molecular gas, unlike [C II], which can arise in molecular, atomic, and extended low-density ionized gas (e.g., Stacey et al. 1985; Shibai et al. 1991). We estimate that we can detect H$_2$ emission from regions with densities and UV illumination as low as $n = 10^2$ cm$^{-3}$ and $\chi = 10^{-3}$. Thus, H$_2$ can serve as a very useful complement to other large-scale tracers such as [C II] by highlighting cold edges illuminated by modest UV fields. Also, H$_2$ can trace molecular gas where CO is absent, particularly in low metallicity or low column density clouds (e.g., van Dishoeck & Black 1987; Lada & Blitz 1988). Last, in shock-dominated environments, H$_2$ is a sensitive probe of diffuse shock emission.

REFERENCES


© American Astronomical Society • Provided by the NASA Astrophysics Data System