FLUX CALIBRATION METHOD OF SLIT SPECTROMETER FOR EXTENDED SOURCES
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ABSTRACT

Long slit spectrometers are widely used in optical and infrared bands in astronomy. Absolute flux calibration for extended sources, however, is not straightforward, because a portion of the radiation energy from a flux calibration star is blocked by the narrow slit width. Assuming that the point spread function (PSF) of the star is circularly symmetric, we develop a robust method to extrapolate the detected stellar flux to the unobscured flux using the measured PSF along the slit-length direction. We apply this method to our long slit data and prove that the uncertainty of the absolute flux calibration is less than a few percents.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Spectroscopic observation in astronomy is to measure the radiation energy and/or the dynamical parameters of celestial objects. In optical and infrared bands, slit spectrometers are preferable to other spectrometer types, e.g., Fabry-Perot Interferometer and Fourier Transform Spectrometer (Luhman et al. 1994; Pak 2000). Traditionally, astronomical spectroscopy in optical bands has been developed for observing absorption lines from the stellar atmospheres using slit spectrometers. Since the properties of these absorption lines can be characterized by the ratio of the absorbed energy to the continuum energy, i.e., equivalent width, the absolute flux calibration is not required for the most of the stellar spectroscopy.

The gratings of the slit spectrometer disperse the radiation perpendicular to the slit length-direction. Since the slit works as a field stop, the slit width controls the amount of throughput energy and the spectral resolution. We need to use the same slit width during observations to keep the same spectral resolution. The full-width at half maximum (FWHM) of the point spread function (PSF), however, depends on the telluric atmospheric seeing condition which is not stable during the observation, and the amount of radiation energy through the slit depends on the PSF. When the observation targets are point sources, e.g., stars and quasars, the absolute flux calibration is just to compare the measured target flux with those of the flux calibration stars (Massey, Valdes, & Barnes 1992). In some special cases when the absolute flux calibration is critical, the targets and the flux calibration stars are measured simultaneously to minimize the effect of the PSF changes (Eigenbrod et al. 2006).

When the targets are extended like galaxies, nebulae, molecular clouds, and planets, the absolute flux calibration becomes troublesome. Astronomers have used two flux calibration methods for extended sources: (1) observing the well-known extended targets, e.g., Orion Nebula, as the flux calibration sources (Hunter & Gallagher 1997) and (2) observing the flux calibration stars with the widest slit which minimizes the masking of the stellar radiation (Pyo 2002). The former method, however, is not reliable because the intensity distribution of a real extended celestial source is not homogeneous and the measured radiation energy depends on the seeing condition. Using the latter method, the spectral resolutions of the target and the calibration star may be different.

In order to calibrate the extended source intensity using the flux calibration star with the same spectral resolution, the measured stellar flux, which is blocked by the slit, needs to be corrected. We develop a robust method to extrapolate the measured stellar flux to the total flux by using the PSF along the slit-length direction. In Section 2, we present the long-slit data which we use for our method. The derived correcting factors are explained in Sections 3 and 4. Finally we discuss the results of our method in Section 5.

II. DATA OF SLIT SPECTROMETER

We used the Cooled Grating Spectrometer 4 (CGS4; Mountain et al. 1990) at the 3.8 m United Kingdom Infrared Telescope (UKIRT) in 2001 and 2003. We configured the CGS4 with a 31 l/mm echelle grating,
300 mm focal length camera optics and a two-pixel-wide slit. The pixel scale along the slit was 0.90 arcsec for H$_2$ 1–0 S(1) ($\lambda = 2.1218\mu m$) with the grating angle of 64°691 and 0.84 arcsec for H$_2$ 2–1 S(1) ($\lambda = 2.2477\mu m$) with 62°127, respectively; the slit widths on the sky were 0.83 and 0.89 arcsec, respectively, for these two configurations. The slit length is $\sim 90$ arcsec.

Seeing was less than 1 arcsec throughout the whole observations. The image quality is degraded, however, through the optical system of CGS4 and the final spatial resolution was about 2 arcsec ($\sim 0.1$ pc at the distance to the Galactic center) according to the FWHM of the flux profile of the standard star along the slit. The instrumental resolutions, measured from Gaussian fits to telluric OH lines in our raw data, were $\sim 18$ km s$^{-1}$ for H$_2$ 1–0 S(1) and $\sim 19$ km s$^{-1}$ for H$_2$ 2–1 S(1), respectively.

We observed flux calibration stars, HR 6496 ($\alpha = 17^h27^m21^s, \delta = -12^\circ30'45''$; J2000) and BS 6310 ($\alpha = 17^h00'09''5, \delta = -24^\circ59'21''$; J2000). Table 1 lists the properties of the flux calibration stars. The scientific targets of these observations are the H$_2$ 1–0 S(1) and the H$_2$ 2–1 S(1) emission lines from the central 10 pc of the Galactic center. The near-infrared H$_2$ emission lines arise from very extended regions where the shocks heat the molecular clouds. The scientific analyses of the data are in the separate papers (Lee et al. 2003; Lee et al. 2006)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard star</th>
<th>Observing date (UT)</th>
<th>$m_K$$^a$ (mag)</th>
<th>Type$^a$</th>
<th>$T_{std}$$^a$ (K)</th>
<th>$F_{std}(\lambda_K)$ (W m$^{-2}$ $\mu m^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR 6496</td>
<td>2001, 2003/05/23</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>F7V</td>
<td>6300</td>
<td>$3.67 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS 6310</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>4.79$^b$</td>
<td>F4V</td>
<td>6600</td>
<td>$5.02 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ From Eyres et al. 1998.
$^b$ $m_K = m_H - (H - K)$ where $m_H = 4.83$ (Eyres et al. 1998) and $(H - K) = 0.04$ (Cox 2000).

where $I_{max}$ is the peak intensity and $\sigma$ = FWHM/2.354. Then the original flux is,

$$F_0 = \int_0^{I_{max}} \pi r^2 \, dI(r) = \frac{2\pi \sigma^2}{\pi} I_{max}.$$

Next we calculate the observed flux after the slit, $F_{obs}$. When we define $x$- and $y$-axis as in Figure 1, since $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$, equation 2 can be written as

$$I(x,y) = I_{max} \cdot \exp \left[ -\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2} \right].$$

Given a slit width, $2Y$ (from $-Y$ to $Y$ along the y-axis), the observed flux,

$$F_{obs} = \int_{-Y}^{+Y} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} I(x,y) \, dx \, dy = 2\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma I_{max} \int_0^Y \exp \left[ -\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] \, dy.$$

Noting $u \equiv y/\sqrt{2}\sigma$,

$$F_{obs} = 2\pi \sigma^2 I_{max} \cdot \text{erf}(\frac{Y}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}).$$

where

$$\text{erf}(-\frac{Y}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{\frac{Y}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}} \exp \left[ -u^2 \right] \, du.$$

Because error function approaches 1 when $Y$ goes to infinity, one recovers the original flux given in equation 3.

From equations 1, 3, and 6, the slit obscuration factor, $C_{slit}$, for the missing flux is given by

$$C_{slit} = \frac{F_0}{F_{obs}} = \text{erf}(\frac{Y}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}).$$

This correction factor can be calculated from the slit width ($2Y$) and $\sigma$ from the seeing size.
IV. SCALING FACTOR

Flux calibration for extended sources like diffuse H$_2$ emission from molecular clouds is converting instrumental pixel values in the unit of ADU into specific intensities ($I_\lambda$ or $I_V$) in physical units, e.g., W m$^{-2}$ arcsec$^{-2}$ $\mu$m$^{-1}$. Given a pixel value, $S(\lambda)$, the specific intensity, $I(\lambda)$, is calculated by a linear equation,

$$ I(\lambda) = C_{flux}(\lambda) \cdot S(\lambda). $$

Here the scaling factor of flux calibration, $C_{flux}(\lambda)$, can be calculated from

$$ C_{flux}(\lambda) = \frac{F_{std}(\lambda)}{S_{std}(\lambda)} \cdot \frac{1}{\Omega_{pix}} \cdot \frac{t_{std}}{t_{obj}} \cdot \frac{1}{W_{slit} \cdot C_{slit}} $$

where $F_{std}(\lambda)$ is the flux density of a standard star in W m$^{-2}$ $\mu$m$^{-1}$, $S_{std}(\lambda)$ is the pixel value of the standard star in ADU, $\Omega_{pix}$ is the pixel field-of-view (FOV) in arcsec$^2$, $t_{std}$ and $t_{obj}$ are the exposure times of the standard star and the object, respectively, $W_{slit}$ is the slit width in pixels, and $C_{slit}$ is a correction factor for slit obscuration.

The flux density of a standard star, $F_{std}(\lambda)$, can be calculated if we know its flux density at K-band (2.0–2.4 $\mu$m). Since we observe the H$_2$ lines only in K-band, assuming that the spectral energy distribution of the standard star approximately follows the Planck function in the small range of wavelength, we can use a relation for flux densities like

$$ F_{std}(\lambda) = F_{std}(\lambda_K) \cdot \frac{B(\lambda, T_{std})}{B(\lambda_K, T_{std})} $$

where the central wavelength of K-band, $\lambda_K = 2.179 \mu$m, $T_{std}$ is the effective temperature of the standard star, and the Plank function (Cox 2000) is given by

$$ B(\lambda, T_{std}) = \frac{1.191 \times 10^8 \cdot \lambda^{-5}}{\exp\left[\frac{14385.7}{\lambda_{\mu m} T_{std}}\right] - 1}. $$

$F_{std}(\lambda_K)$ can be calculated from the K-band flux density of Vega;

$$ F_{std}(\lambda_K) = F_{Vega}(\lambda_K) \cdot 10^{-m_{K}/2.5} $$

where $F_{Vega}(\lambda_K) = 4.14 \times 10^{-10}$ W m$^{-2}$ $\mu$m$^{-1}$ (Cox 2000) and $m_K$ is the K-band magnitude of the standard star. We present the information of the standard stars we use in Table 1.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For the absolute flux calibration of our CGS4 data we apply the methods described in the previous sections. With the known values of $\Omega_{pix}$ (0.90 x 0.41 = 0.369 arcsec$^2$ for H$_2$ 1–0 S(1) and 0.84 x 0.41 = 0.344 arcsec$^2$ for H$_2$ 2–1 S(1)), $t_{std}/t_{obj}$ (7/100 for H$_2$ 1–0 S(1) and 7/60 for H$_2$ 2–1 S(1)), $W_{slit}$ (2 pixels), and $C_{slit}$, the scaling factors for flux calibration are derived and listed in Table 2.

On the nights of 2001 August 3 and 4, we observed the flux calibration star, HR 6496, before and after each observing night. The measured pixel values, $S_{std}(\lambda)$, changed by 2–7% during the same nights because of the seeing changes. Using equation 8, we derive the slit obscuration factors, $C_{slit}$, based on the PSF profiles along the slit-length direction (see the 6th column in Table 2). Then we calculate the scaling factors, $C_{flux}$, which directly convert the measured pixel values of the extended targets to the intensity units using...
Table 2
SLIT OBSCURATION FACTORS AND SCALING FACTORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date (UT)</th>
<th>Standard star</th>
<th>$F_{\text{std}}(\lambda)$ (W m$^{-2}$ $\mu$m$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$S_{\text{std}}(\lambda)$ (ADU)</th>
<th>FWHM (pixel)</th>
<th>$C_{\text{slit}}$ (W m$^{-2}$ arcsec$^{-2}$ $\mu$m$^{-1}$ ADU$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$C_{\text{flux}}$ (W m$^{-2}$ $\mu$m$^{-1}$ ADU$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$ 1–0 S(1) ($\lambda = 2.1218 \mu$m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001/08/03</td>
<td>HR 6496</td>
<td>$4.01 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>9.27 $\times 10^{-17}$</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR 6496</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>9.36 $\times 10^{-17}$</td>
<td>4.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR 6496</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>1.02 $\times 10^{-16}$</td>
<td>4.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR 6496</td>
<td>1530</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>1.02 $\times 10^{-16}$</td>
<td>4.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003/05/23</td>
<td>HR 6496</td>
<td>2270</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>5.70 $\times 10^{-17}$</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003/05/28</td>
<td>BS 6310</td>
<td>$5.48 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>3050</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>7.75 $\times 10^{-17}$</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003/05/29</td>
<td>BS 6310</td>
<td>2570</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>7.82 $\times 10^{-17}$</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003/05/30</td>
<td>BS 6310</td>
<td>3480</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>6.24 $\times 10^{-17}$</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003/05/31</td>
<td>BS 6310</td>
<td>1680</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>1.16 $\times 10^{-16}$</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003/06/01</td>
<td>BS 6310</td>
<td>3140</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>8.02 $\times 10^{-17}$</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$ 2–1 S(1) ($\lambda = 2.2477 \mu$m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001/08/04</td>
<td>HR 6496</td>
<td>$4.12 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.76 $\times 10^{-16}$</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

equation 10. The scaling factors of the same nights agree within 0 – 0.5 %, which proves that our slit obscuration factors correct the seeing changes almost perfectly.

In equation 10, the ratio of $F_{\text{std}}(\lambda)$ to $S_{\text{std}}(\lambda)$ includes the detector responsivity which depends on the detector temperature (Rieke 2002) and the atmospheric transmission. Because these parameters change every observing night, the scaling factors in Table 2 are different for different nights. Figure 2 shows two spectral images of the H$_2$ 1–0 S(1) line, which are observed from the same position on the sky but in two different nights; August 3 in 2001 (left) and on May 23 in 2003 (right). After flux calibration using the scaling factors in Table 2, the integrated line intensity of the brightest clumps is $\sim 1.8 \times 10^{-17}$ W m$^{-2}$ arcsec$^{-2}$ in the 2001 data and $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{-17}$ W m$^{-2}$ arcsec$^{-2}$ in the 2003 data. The difference of the calibration results is $\sim 9$ % between the two different seasons over a time gap of about two years. Therefore we conclude that our method is reliable considering that the uncertainty of absolute flux calibration in a near-infrared observation is typically a few tens percent (Pak et al. 2004).
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