Shock interactions between Sgr A East and its environments

Sungho Lee¹, Soojong Pak², T. R. Geballe³, Sang-Gak Lee⁴, Christopher J. Davis⁵, Minho Choi¹, Y. C. Minh^{1,6}, Robeson M. Herrnstein⁷ and Paul T. P. Ho^{8,9}

 $^1{\rm Korea}$ Astronomy and Space Science Institute, 61-1 Hwaam-dong, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 305-348, South Korea

 $^2 \mathrm{Department}$ of Astronomy and Space Science, Kyung Hee University, Yongin-si, Gyeonggi-do 446-701, South Korea

³Gemini Observatory, 670 N. A'ohoku Place, Hilo, HI 96720, USA

⁴Astronomy Department, School of Physics and Astronomy, Seoul National University, Shillim-dong, Seoul 151-742, South Korea

⁵Joint Astronomy Centre, University Park, 660 North A'ohoku Place, Hilo, HI 96720, USA
⁷Department of Astronomy, Columbia University, 550 West 120th St. New York, NY 10027, USA

⁸Academia Sinica Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics, P.O. Box 23-141, Taipei, 106 Taiwan

⁹Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

E-mail: leesh@kasi.re.kr

Abstract. We have surveyed the interaction regions between Sgr A East and the surrounding molecular clouds in the H₂ 1–0 S(1) (2.1218 μ m) line emission. The resulting data cube shows the distribution of the H₂ emission both in space (with a resolution of about 2 arcsec) and in velocity (with a resolution of about 18 km s⁻¹). Our data are compared with NH₃(3,3) data from radio observations to investigate the gas kinematics. Based on the H₂ 1–0 S(1) line's intensity, its ratio to H₂ 2–1 S(1), and its gas kinematics, we conclude that the emitting H₂ is excited by strong molecular shocks. We estimate shock velocities ($\simeq 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) by comparing the H₂ line profiles with those of NH₃, and derive an initial explosion energy of 0.2 – 4 ×10⁵³ ergs. Such a high energy excludes a single normal supernova for the origin of Sgr A East. We examine other hypotheses, including the tidal disruption of a star by the supermassive black hole (Sgr A*), multiple supernovae, and a hypernova. We suggest that a hypernova, which is believed to originate from a collapsar or microquasar, is the most probable origin.

1. Introduction

Sgr A East is believed to play an essential role in the large-scale structure and kinematics of the central 10 pc of our Galaxy. However, the nature of Sgr A East is still controversial. The object frequently has been interpreted as a supernova remnant (SNR) because of its shell structure and non-thermal spectrum in the radio [1, 2]. Some recent studies, however, have suggested that the energy, size, and elongated morphology of Sgr A East cannot have been produced by a typical supernova [3, 4, 5]. A theoretical study [6] suggested that Sgr A East may be the

⁶ Present address: Academia Sinica Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics, P.O. Box 23-141, Taipei, Taiwan

remnant of a solar mass star tidally disrupted by a 10^6 M_{\odot} supermassive black hole (SMBH), of which Sgr A* is the most probable candidate. This model can explain the elongated shape of Sgr A East as well as the extreme energetics ($\sim 4 \times 10^{52}$ ergs). However, observations by the *Chandra X-ray Observatory* [7] suggested that Sgr A East should be classified as a metal-rich 'mixed morphology' remnant of a single Type II supernova explosion with an energy of 10^{51} ergs. Another study using NH₃ data [8] estimated the energy of the progenitor explosion of Sgr A East as $2 - 9 \times 10^{51}$ ergs.

The energy required to make the Sgr A East shell is a key parameter to understanding its origin and can be directly measured by studying the interaction of the shell with the ambient material. Sgr A East is surrounded by various molecular structures including two giant molecular clouds (GMCs) M-0.02-0.07 and M-0.13-0.08 (also known as the '50 km s⁻¹ cloud' and the '20 km s⁻¹ cloud', respectively), and several filamentary molecular features: the 'molecular ridge', the 'southern streamer', the 'northern ridge', and the 'western streamer' (contours in Figure 1 and refer to [8, 9]). Previous studies of the interaction, however, are mostly based on indirect evidence from morphology, kinematics of neighboring molecular clouds, or absorption of background radiation, rather than on direct, physical interactions involving Sgr A East. To investigate the origin of Sgr A East, we have observed molecular hydrogen (H₂) line emission, which is an excellent tracer of interactions between energetic wind sources such as Sgr A East and dense molecular clouds.



Figure 1. The background image is the 6 cm continuum map of the central 10 pc with $NH_3(3,3)$ emission contours superimposed [9]. Sgr A East surrounds Sgr A^{*} and Sgr A West in the radio continuum. The four fields observed in H_2 1–0 S(1) are indicated by the labelled 0.4 white boxes. Each field is divided into 2 or 4 scanning blocks labelled with numbers. The narrow box labelled '0' belongs to field E. The white dashed line in field NE-1 indicates the slit position of the H₂ 2-1 S(1) observation. Contour levels are in intervals of 4 σ , where $\sigma = 0.33 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1} \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (with the \sim 15" \times 13" beam), and the scale bar ranges from 0 to 0.7 Jy $beam^{-1}$ (where the beam size is $3.4" \times 3.0"$).

2. Observations and data reduction

Four fields around Sgr A East were observed in $H_2 \ 1-0 \ S(1)$ with a slit-scanning technique (see Figure 1). The $H_2 \ 2-1 \ S(1)$ line (2.2477 μ m) also was observed at the location where the $H_2 \ 1-0 \ S(1)$ emission is brightest. The data were obtained at the 3.8 m United Kingdom Infrared Telescope (UKIRT) during 2001 and 2003 using the Cooled Grating Spectrometer 4 [10] with its 31 l/mm echelle, 300 mm focal length camera, and two-pixel-wide slit. The pixel scale along the slit was 0.90 arcsec for $H_2 \ 1-0 \ S(1)$ and 0.84 arcsec for $H_2 \ 2-1 \ S(1)$; the slit widths on the sky were 0.83 and 0.89 arcsec, respectively, for these two configurations. The angular resolution was about 2 arcsec (~ 0.1 pc at the distance to the Galactic centre [11]) and the spectral resolution

was $\sim 18 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for both lines. The slit length was $\sim 90 \text{ arcsec}$, which allowed a large area to be efficiently surveyed.

After the data were flatfielded through the UKIRT reduction pipeline ORAC-DR, we employed standard IRAF¹ routines to perform sky subtraction, interpolate over bad pixels, remove image distortions, and impose an accurate (to $\pm 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) wavelength calibration using telluric OH lines. We also removed stellar continua and residual skylines, and flux-calibrated using the spectra of HR 6496 and HR 6310.

The final stage of data reduction involved the use of MIRIAD [12, 13], a program package generally used for reduction and analysis of radio interferometric data. However, MIRIAD can also be used for the general reduction of continuum and spectral line data such as our near-infrared H_2 data. We employed MIRIAD to stack the 2-dimensional (2-D) spectral images into a single 3-dimensional (3-D) data cube for each of the fields and then combined them into a total data cube (Figure 2) that contains coordinate information for every position along every slit for each slit orientation [14].

3. H₂ excitation mechanism

The near-infrared H₂ emission arises either from thermal excitation by shocks or from nonthermal excitation by absorption of far-ultraviolet (far-UV) photons. The H₂ 2–1 S(1) / 1–0 S(1) line ratio, which is the most commonly used indicator of excitation mechanism, can distinguish between UV in low-density (n(H₂) < 5 × 10⁴ cm⁻³ [15]) gas, UV in dense gas, jump-shocks (J-shocks) [16], slow J-shocks [17], and continuous-shocks (C-shocks) [17, 18].

From the observed line ratios of 0.3 - 0.5 [19], we can rule out many of the above possibilities, but cannot distinguish between fast J-shocks, a dense PDR, or by a combination of fluorescence and shocks. However, fast J-shocks are excluded since J-shocks typically produce lower H₂ 1-0 S(1) line intensities (< 10^{-4} ergs s⁻¹ cm⁻² sr⁻¹ or < 3×10^{-18} W m⁻² arcsec⁻² [16]) than our observed results (5 - 20 × 10^{-18} W m⁻² arcsec⁻²).

We can also consider the kinematic information obtained from the observed line profiles. In a pure PDR or in a J-shock the H₂ line profiles are narrow. In a PDR the line is un-shifted with respect to the cloud velocity, whereas in a J-shock it is shifted by more than $\sim 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. C-shock profiles are broad extending from the ambient cloud velocity to the shock velocity. To investigate the kinematic properties of both the excited (hot) gas and ambient (cool; < 100 K) gas, we compare the high resolution H₂ 1–0 S(1) line profiles with the NH₃(3,3) line profiles [9], at eight representative positions where bright emission lines of both molecules are detected (see Figure 2).

For most spectra in Figure 3, the H₂ line widths are much larger (typically 50 – 100 km s⁻¹) than the NH₃ line widths (typically 20 – 40 km s⁻¹). Because of a blending of five hyperfine lines, the observed NH₃ line widths may be greatly overestimated and the recovered intrinsic line widths are about 15 km s⁻¹ [20]. Thus we conclude that the H₂ lines are much broader than the NH₃ lines, by as much as 30 – 80 km s⁻¹, and exclude the pure fluorescence models. Fast J-shocks also seem to be inconsistent with our results, owing to the low peak velocities of the H₂ lines relative to the NH₃ lines. Instead, the gas kinematics indicates C-shocks. However, the high values (up to 0.5) of the line ratio at some positions [19] point to fluorescent contributions. Therefore, a combination of C-shocks and fluorescence [21] is the most reasonable explanation for the H₂ excitation.

¹ IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.



Figure 2. Integrated intensity map of $H_2 \ 1-0 \ S(1)$ line emission, smoothed by a Gaussian with FWHM = 5". The grayscaled intensity level is indicated by the right hand bar in units of W m⁻² arcsec⁻². The overlaid contours show the velocity-integrated NH₃(3,3) emission map [9]. The contour levels are in intervals of 3σ (the RMS noise $\sigma = 0.33$ Jy beam⁻¹ km s⁻¹). The positions of the eight spectra of H₂ 1 - 0 S(1) and NH₃(3,3) in Figure 3 are marked on the map.



Figure 3. Spectra of $H_2 \ 1-0 \ S(1)$ and $NH_3(3,3)$ in 30" FWHM apertures at the positions marked in Figure 2. Thick solid lines are the $H_2 \ 1-0 \ S(1)$ spectra, for which the intensity scale is indicated on the left-side, and thin solid lines $NH_3(3,3)$, for which the scale is on the right-side. The dotted lines are Gaussian fits to the $NH_3(3,3)$ line profiles. The spectra were obtained by convolving each data cube with a circular Gaussian beam and are not corrected for instrumental broadening in velocity.

4. Shock velocities of Sgr A East from line profiles

Since the radiation is emitted as the gas is being heated and accelerated in the shock front [22], the speed of a C-shock can be determined from the velocity corresponding to the edge of the line profile, hereafter denoted by V_{max} . According to detailed models for the line profiles of C-shocks [23], the full-width-at-zero-intensity (FWZI) of a line is 90 per cent of the shock velocity. Because line profiles from different regions are often superimposed, however, the low velocity edge (the pre-shock velocity) of an individual shock profile is not always obvious. Thus, instead of using the FWZI to determine the speed of the C-shock, we use the difference between V_{max,H_2} of a post-shock H₂ profile and V_{0,NH_3} , the system velocity of the pre-shock gas as traced by the NH₃ emission.

The velocity of NH₃ line center (V_{0,NH_3}) is measured from a Gaussian fit and V_{max,H_2} is determined from a deconvolved profile (using a Gaussian of FWHM = 18 km s⁻¹ to approximate the instrumental resolution [14]). The resulting line-of-sight velocities of the C-shocks range from 44 km s⁻¹ to 128 km s⁻¹ depending on the location. After corrections for geometrical projection effects assuming an oblate shell for the Sgr A East shock front [14], the mean velocity of the shocks is 109 ± 34 km s⁻¹. The detailed results are presented elsewhere [14].

The derived shock velocities seem to be too large to be acceptable in typical C-shock models, which have breakdown velocities of $< 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ [24]. The breakdown velocity, however, depends on the density and magnetic field strength in the pre-shock gas [25]. It is generally thought that a magnetic field of a few mG exists throughout the Galactic Center region [26]. In such a strong magnetic field and in clouds of mean density 10^4 cm^{-3} [27], the breakdown velocity is increased

to > 200 km s⁻¹.

5. Explosion energy and age of Sgr A East

To estimate the initial energy and the age of Sgr A East we use a standard model [28] of the evolution of a SNR in a medium of uniform density. Sgr A East is thought to be in a pressuredriven radiative phase (or snowplow phase) [4, 7, 8]. Then the energy of the initial explosion, E_0 , is given by

$$E_0 = 4.3 \times 10^{51} \left(\frac{E_{shell}}{10^{51} \text{ ergs}}\right)^{2/3} \left(\frac{R_{shell}}{1 \text{ pc}}\right)^{4/3} \left(\frac{n_0}{10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}}\right)^{2/3} \text{ [ergs]}$$
$$E_{shell} = \frac{2\pi}{3} R_{shell}^3 n_0 m_H V_{shell}^2$$

where R_{shell} is the current radius of the shell, n_0 is the mean initial H number density of the pre-shock gas, m_H is the proton mass, and V_{shell} is the expansion velocity of the shell material [4], which is assumed to be the same as the mean velocity of the molecular shocks. For n_0 we suppose two extreme cases: a dense giant molecular cloud (scenario I), and a low density halo [7] (scenario II).

In scenario II). In scenario II, shocks with a mean velocity of $V_{shock} = 109 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ are propagating through molecular clouds with mean H₂ densities of $n_{H_2} = 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ [27]. Thus $V_{shell,I} = V_{shock} =$ 109 km s^{-1} and $n_{0,I} = 2 n_{H_2} = 2 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. Since the mean shell radius, $R_{shell} = 4.6 \text{ pc}$, which is derived from the elliptical boundary of 5.4 pc × 3.8 pc [14], the shell's kinetic energy is calculated to be $E_{shell,I} = 2.3 \times 10^{52}$ ergs and the initial explosion energy $E_{0,I} = 4.2 \times 10^{53}$ ergs.

In scenario II, we assume that the shock front of Sgr A East has just started to contact its surrounding molecular clouds and the shocks are slowed down by dense gas. Since the measured shock velocities from the H₂ lines are for these slowed shocks, we use instead the velocities of fast shocks that propagated through the low density gas halo before contacting the molecular clouds. The velocity of the fast ambient shocks in the halo can be derived using $V_{s,halo}/V_{s,cloud} = \sqrt{n_{cloud}/n_{halo}}$ where $n_{halo} = 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ [7]. It is reasonable to regard the compressed shell as the synchrotron shell which contacts the inner edge of the dust ring [4]. Hence we use $R_{shell,II} = 3.7$ pc, which is smaller by 80 per cent than the size determined from the H₂ emission. Using $V_{s,cloud} = 109$ km s⁻¹ and $n_{cloud} = 2 \times 10^4$ cm⁻³, we calculate $V_{shell,II} = V_{s,halo} = 487$ km s⁻¹ and $n_{0,II} = n_{halo} = 10^3$ cm⁻³. Then the kinetic shell energy is calculated to be $E_{shell,II} = 1.2 \times 10^{52}$ ergs and the initial explosion energy $E_{0,II} = 2.7 \times 10^{52}$ ergs.

We can calculate the age of Sgr A East from

$$t = 114 \left(\frac{R_{shell}}{0.329 \text{ pc}}\right)^{7/2} \left(\frac{E_0}{10^{51} \text{ ergs}}\right)^{-3/4} \left(\frac{n_0}{10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}}\right) \text{ [yr]},$$

using the estimated explosion energy, E_0 [28]. Then the estimated age is 2.5×10^4 yr in scenario I and 4.6×10^3 yr in scenario II.

6. Origin of Sgr A East

The explosion energy of $0.2 - 4 \times 10^{53}$ ergs excludes the hypothesis of a single, typical supernova with a kinetic energy of 10^{51} ergs for the origin of Sgr A East.

The predicted frequency (once every $10^4 - 10^5$ yr) of the tidal disruption of stars by a SMBH and energy released $(0.4 - 4 \times 10^{53} \text{ ergs})$ [6] are consistent with our estimates of the age and explosion energy, respectively. On the other hand, to explain the 3-D geometry of the Sgr A complex, this model needs a highly collimated eruption since Sgr A^{*}, which is thought to be the source of explosion (i.e. the SMBH), is located at the edge of Sgr A East along the line of sight [8, 14]. An anisotropic explosion, however, is not likely according to detailed studies of the evolution of stellar debris [29, 30]. In addition, this model cannot reproduce the high metallicity observed in X-ray [7] since the explosion is driven by gravity rather than by nuclear reactions.

The extremely large energy of the Sgr A East explosion may be explained by typical supernovae if several tens of them explode over a short time span, which cannot be longer than the age of Sgr A East (~ 10⁴ yr). This might be possible in a stellar cluster containing a huge number of massive stars, in which many stars have similar masses so that their SN-end-lifetimes have a very small dispersion. In the Galactic center, there are three extraordinarily massive and dense young clusters; the Central, Arches, and Quintuplet clusters. Using the estimated initial masses [31] and evolution models of massive stars [32, 33], we calculate the SN-end-lifetimes of the member stars in the Arches cluster as an example. Figure 4 shows that the estimated lifetimes are concentrated around 4500 kyr, which corresponds to $M_{init} \simeq 40-70 \text{ M}_{\odot}$. The peak at that age contains ~50 stars and covers ~3 × 10⁵ yr, corresponding to an average supernova rate of slightly more than one per 10⁴ yr. Thus at most only a few explosions are expected during the lifetime of Sgr A East, and multiple supernovae cannot account for its energy.



Figure 4. Histogram for the lifetimes of the stars in the Arches cluster. The data are binned by 10^5 yr for the lifetime.

The extremely high energies (> 10^{52} ergs) of hypernova [34, 35] can be reproduced by black hole formation mechanisms such as a "collapsar" or "jet-powered supernova (JetSN)" [33, 36], or the "microquasar" model [34]. Either occurrence satisfies both the energy and metallicity constraints. There are many potential cadidate hypernovae near Sgr A East. JetSNe are possible in the range of $M_{init} \simeq 25$ -60 M_{\odot} (GRBs are also possible when $M_{init} > 35$ M_{\odot}) under the metallicity condition in the Galactic center [36]. In the Arches cluster, for example, there are ~ 130 massive stars in the potential mass range of JetSNe and ~ 80 stars in the range of JetSNe+GRBs. These numbers may be doubled if the Central and Quintuplet clusters, which have similar properties with the Arches cluster, are included. We conclude that only the hypothesis of a hypernova can satisfy all the observational constraints above.

7. Future work

The interstellar medium in the central 10 pc is known to be very clumpy and dense. Thus the evolution of Sgr A East needs to be studied using more realistic, numerical simulations than the standard analytic model. For the black hole origin, only the tidal disruption model has been tested in this work, but disruption is only one possibility related to SMBHs. Other phenomena observed in active galactic nuclei, e.g. bursts or outflows, should also be examined as possible origins of Sgr A East. Note also that a very inhomogeneous environment near the site of the explosion might circumvent the problem of high collimation. Finally, the viability of the hypernova model should be also examined based on its other properties; for example, its radio or X-ray emission.

Acknowledgments

We thank the staff at UKIRT for their excellent support during our observations. S. Lee thanks Luis Ho for the insightful suggestions on future work. Fig. 1 is reproduced from Fig. 10 of [9] by permission of the AAS. The United Kingdom Infrared Telescope is operated by the Joint Astronomy Centre on behalf of the U.K. Particle Physics and Astronomy Council. This work was financially supported by the BK21 Project of the Korean Government. T. R. Geballe's research is supported by the Gemini Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., on behalf of the international Gemini partnership of Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada, Chile, the United Kingdom and the United States of America.

References

- [1] Jones T W 1974 A&A **30** 37
- [2] Goss W M, Schwarz U J, Ekers R D and van Gorkom J H 1983 Proc. IAU Symp. vol 101 ed J Danziger and P Gorenstein (Dordrecht: Reidel) p 65
- [3] Yusef-Zadeh F and Morris M 1987 ApJ 320 545
- [4] Mezger P G, Zylka R, Salter C J, Wink J E, Chini R, Kreysa E and Tuffs R 1989 A&A 209 337
- [5] Fatuzzo M, Melia F, Yusef-Zadeh F and Markoff S 1999 ASP Conf. Ser. vol 186 ed H Falcke et al (San Francisco: ASP) p 560
- [6] Khokhlov A and Melia F 1996 ApJ 457 L61
- [7] Maeda Y et al 2002 *ApJ* **570** 671
- [8] Herrnstein R M and Ho P T P 2005 *ApJ* **620** 287
- [9] McGary R S, Coil A L and Ho P T P 2001 ApJ 559 326
- [10] Mountain C M, Robertson D J, Lee T J and Wade R 1990 Proc. SPIE vol 1235 ed D L Crawford (Bellingham: SPIE) p 25
- [11] Reid M J 1993 ARA&A **31** 345
- [12] Sault R J, Teuben P J and Wright M C H 1995 ASP Conf. Ser. vol 77 ed R A Shaw et al (San Francisco: ASP) p 433
- [13] Hoffman W, Hudson J, Sharpe R K, Grossman A W, Morgan J A and Teuben P J 1996 ASP Conf. Ser. vol 101 ed G H Jacoby and J Barnes (San Francisco: ASP) p 436
- [14] Lee S 2005 PhD thesis Seoul National University
- [15] Black J H and van Dishoeck E F 1987 ApJ 322 412
- [16] Hollenbach D and McKee C F 1989 *ApJ* **342** 306
- [17] Smith M D 1995 A&A 296 789
- [18] Kaufman M J and Neufeld D A 1996 ApJ 456 611
- [19] Lee S, Pak S, Davis C J, Herrnstein R M, Geballe T R, Ho P T P and Wheeler J C 2003 MNRAS 341 509
- [20] McGary R S and Ho P T P 2002 ApJ 577 757
- [21] Fernandes A J L, Brand P W J L and Burton M G 1997 MNRAS 290 216
- [22] Hollenbach D J, Chernoff D F and McKee C F 1989 Proc. of the 22nd Eslab Symp. vol SP-290 ed B H Kaldeich (European Space Agency) p 245
- [23] Smith M D and Brand P W J L 1990 MNRAS 243 498
- [24] Draine B T, Roberge W G and Dalgarno A 1983 ApJ 264 485
- [25] Smith M D, Brand P W J L and Moorhouse A 1991 MNRAS 248 730
- [26] Chuss D T, Davidson J A, Dotson J L, Dowell C D, Hildebrand R H, Novak G and Vaillancourt J E 2003 ApJ 599 1116
- [27] Güsten R and Philipp S D 2004 Proc. of the 4th Cologne-Bonn-Zermatt Symp. vol 91 ed S Pfalzner et al (Heidelberg: Springer) p 253
- [28] Shull J M 1980 ApJ **237** 769
- $[29]~{\rm Lee}$ H M and Kim S S 1996 $JK\!AS\,{\bf 29}$ 195
- [30] Bogdanović T, Eracleous M, Mahadevan S, Sigurdsson S and Laguna P 2004 ApJ 610 707
- [31] Figer D F et al 2002 *ApJ* **581** 258
- [32] Woosley S E, Langer N and Weaver T A 1993 ApJ 411 823
- [33] Woosley S E, Heger A and Weaver T A 2002 Rev. Mod. Phys. 74 1015
- [34] Paczyński B 1998 ApJ 494 L45
- [35] Nakamura T, Umeda H, Iwamoto K, Nomoto K, Hashimoto M, Hix W R and Thielemann F-K 2001 ApJ 555 880
- [36] Heger A, Fryer C L, Woosley S E, Langer N and Hartmann D H 2003 ApJ 591 288